



REMARKS

Claims 67-72 and 75 are pending; claims 73-74 have been canceled. The amendments to claims 67-68, and new claim 75, are supported throughout the specification, especially at pages 14-22.

The remarks made in the Response filed May 12, 2006 are incorporated herein by reference.

The Advisory Action mailed June 7, 2006 states that the proposed amendments to claims 67 and 68 (i.e., the amendments made in this Response) would overcome the respective rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112. During a telephonic interview held on June 16, 2006, the Examiner also stated that he considered the claimed invention patentable over the prior art and was favorably inclined to allow those claims.

The Advisory Action further stated that the term "likelihood" in claim 73 rendered the claim indefinite. Claim 73 and claim 74 (which also contains the term "likelihood") have been canceled, without prejudice.


Finally, the Advisory Action refused to enter new claim 75 because one of the finally-rejected claims had not been canceled. This ground for non-entry is now believed to be moot, both because claims 73-74 have been canceled and because this Response is filed with an RCE.

In light of the above, claims 67-72 and 75 are now believed to be in condition for allowance.

No fee is believed to be due with this Response (other than the RCE fee). However, if any other fee is due, please charge that fee to Deposit Account No. 50-0310.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated June 16, 2006


Steven D. Underwood, Esq.
Registration No. 47,205
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
101 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10178-0060
(212) 309-6000
Customer No. 09629